Product Comparison: Team Selection



Knowing which tool to use, and when, is a part of any craft. Understanding which version of the Birkman Method[®] to use in a specific team selection situation is no different.

In this document we are going to look at five possible Birkman-powered answers for Team Selection, and when to use each of them. (*See page 2 for visuals.*)

Birkman Signature: This is the gold standard in Selection, when you need to know how each person will interact with others, and the specific **perspectives** which they will bring to the table. Signature allows you to look at specific areas such as decision making, and check the balance of the proposed team between, say, speed into action versus depth of processing. This makes Signature the optimal solution for team selection when the stakes (success or failure) are significant.

Add **DeepView**[™] to Signature to get a customised set of views, focusing on what specifically matters to this team and situation.

What about when you are simply trying to work out who to pick for a particular team; the fate of the company is not riding on this, but success is still important and - critically - the ongoing engagement and retention of the employees is a critical success metric? You have three options.

Snapshot+[™] is the simplest one. You just get the data you need to identify who is going to be motivated by the assignment, plus an overview of how each will behave and communicate. Viewing this data for the group will ensure you are still getting diversity of perspective and thought. If you are actually hiring rather than selecting a team from existing employees, you also have the option not to give reports to unsuccessful applicants; although best practice is generally still to do so (you are giving them something of value to help them with their job search). Ask us for advice.

expresso[™] is the best option when you are not only trying to select the right people for the team, but also want to **equip** them to work together more effectively. You can still perform all the same analysis of individuals and the team as a whole (and with a larger set of career data than in Snapshot+); but expresso comes with self-guided learning and development content baked in, for use either as individuals or for team learning. Note: expresso is not suitable for recruitment situations where you do not want candidates to see their results.

What about if the assignment is about hiring interns or current students for a placement? By definition, these are people who don't have any significant workplace experience yet - but you still want to get the people who will flourish, and have the potential to become valuable future team members. **hoozyu**[®] is your best choice. Plug and play identical dataset to expresso, but with content specifically tailored to those still finding their way. Particularly if you are working with one or more educational institutions, you can fund hoozyu to be delivered in the context of the Institution's programmes, provided that it is clearly communicated beforehand that this will form part of your selection criteria for entering the intern cohort or placement programme.

And once again, adding **DeepView** to Snapshot+, expresso or hoozyu allows you to get those custom views of the data that matters to you.

On the following page you'll find some visual samples of each of the products mentioned. To find out more visit elaura.com or contact us at corporate@elaura.com

Product Comparison: Team Selection





Here's some visuals to help you picture what you get with each of these products:

- Signature sample: Advanced Summary page which covers all the data available as part of Signature, except Career Families.
- DeepView sample: an example of one of the many forms of output available.
- Snapshot+ sample: 3 pages of the Snapshot+ report.
- expresso sample: printable Summary Report available from the platform (for hoozyu also)







